



# Route 29 Solutions

Nov. 6, 2014 PDAP meeting

Agenda item 6: October 14 Design-Build Public Hearing Comments



## US 29/Rio Road GSI

- **Does Design Address Future Congestion?**
  - **Yes - 87**
  - **No - 25**
  - **No Comment – 21**
- **Should Architectural Treatments Be Included On Walls and Bridge?**
  - **Yes - 97**
  - **No - 11**
  - **No Comment – 25**
- **Does Design Provide Adequate Pedestrian Facilities?**
  - **Yes - 148**
  - **No - 39**
  - **No Comment – 25**



# Route 29 Widening

- **Does Design Improve Safety along Route 29?**
  - **Yes - 44**
  - **No - 5**
  - **No Comment – 49**
- **Do You Support A Paved Multi-Use Trail On The East Side Of Route 29?**
  - **Yes - 47**
  - **No - 2**
  - **No Comment – 47**



# Berkmar Drive Extension

- **Does Design Provide An Adequate Alternative For Local Traffic?**
  - **Yes - 51**
  - **No - 6**
  - **No Comment – 47**
- **Does Design Provide An Adequate Alternative For Through Traffic?**
  - **Yes - 19**
  - **No - 11**
  - **No Comment – 74**
- **How Will The Road Affect Your Daily Travels?**
  - **Positively - 48**
  - **Negatively - 1**
  - **No Comment – 53**



# Communication

- **How Would You Like To Be Informed About Activities That May Affect Your Travels During Construction?**
  - **Newspaper – 58**
  - **Website - 8**
  - **TV/Radio – 13**
  - **VDOT Signs – 7**
  - **Social Media – 11**
  - **Direct Mail – 3**
  - **Email – 27**
  - **Other - 4**
  
- **How Did You Hear About This Meeting?**
  - **Newspaper – 53**
  - **Website - 26**
  - **TV/Radio – 29**
  - **VDOT Signs – 2**
  - **Social Media – 25**
  - **Direct Mail – 15**
  - **Email – 113**
  - **Other - 80**